

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/032,408	12/31/2001	Richard A. Steiner	122-113	5706
21091 75	590 04/19/2004		EXAM	INER
JOHN H CROZIER			TRINH, MINH N	
1934 HUNTINGTON TURNPIKE TRUMBULL, CT 06611			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TROMBOLL,			3729	ψ
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

b ed						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/032,408	STEINER, RICHARD A.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Minh Trinh	3729				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	op ars on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of thin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	December 20 <u>03</u> .					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and an are subject. 	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and accompany accompany and accompany and accompany and accompany and accompany accompany and accompany	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyan ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents. ☐ Copies of the priority documents. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

1. The amendment filed in paper No. 5, dated 12/29/2003 has been fully considered and made of record.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 9 objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a) limitation "one of (1)" (claim 9, line 12) should be changed to: -- one of --,
 - b) "(2)" should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato (US 4,790,068) in view of Holiday et al (5,435,167).

Sato teaches a compression tool comprising: a body 1, support 51 or 52 for support a cable; a handle 2 rotatably attached to said compression member 8 at a first pivot point 1a; and a link rotatably attached to said handle 2 at a second pivot point and to said body 1 at a third pivot point (see Fig.1), such that rotation of said handle 1 from an open position to a closed position effects compressive adapted to attach said end connector parts to cable (see Fig. 1). Sato does not teach the support for support a cable axially disposed with respect to the body. Holliday et al teach the feature as

Art Unit: 3729

described above (see Figs 3-4, depicts a support for supporting cable in the axial direction of the body). Therefore, it would have been an obvious to one ordinary having skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the Holliday's teaching as described above onto the tool of Sato in order to obtain a desired structure. The motivation for this combination as discussed at col. 2, lines 18-22 of Holliday et al.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments (under the "Remarks") have been acknowledged.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 2-8 and 10-12 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claim 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the claim objection (s), set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Noted that the reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: That the prior art taken alone or in combination with other references does not teach the specific limitation as recited in these claims (see amended claims 2-12).

Application/Control Number: 10/032,408

Art Unit: 3729

Interviews After Final

9. Applicant notes that an interview after a final rejection will not be granted unless the intended purpose and content of the interview in presented briefly, in writing (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Such an interview may be granted if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations which would require more than nominal reconsideration or new search will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

Conclusion

- 10. Please provide numeral references to the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure (i.e., page and line numbers and reference number associated with from the drawings) for better clarity. Applicant requires pointing out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure and the claims. See 37CFR 1.111 and section 2163.06 of the MPEP.
- 11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/032,408

Art Unit: 3729

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-2887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Patent Examiner, Group 3729

Mt 4/19/04